Case 1:20-cr-00287-JPO Document 48 Filed 10/16/20 Page 1 of 9



U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

October 15, 2020

BY CM/ECF

The Hon. J. Paul Oetken
United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York
Thurgood Marshall
United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Segovia-Landa et al., 20 Cr. 287 (JPO)

Dear Judge Oetken:

The Government writes to request a protective order in the above captioned matter. A protective order is necessary to protect certain sensitive material, including identifying information related to third parties. A proposed protective order, consented to and signed by the parties, is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

AUDREY STRAUSS
Acting United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York

by: /s/
Kedar S. Bhatia
Assistant United States Attorney
(212) 637-2465

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

Protective Order

v.

20 Cr. 287 (JPO)

Marco Segovia-Landa, Ledif Perez-Perez, Lisandy Joaquin-Ortiz, and Jose Rivera.

Defendants.

Upon the application of the United States of America, with the consent of the undersigned counsel, and the defendants having requested discovery under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. The Government has made and will make disclosure to the defendant(s) of documents, objects and information, including electronically stored information ("ESI"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, 18 U.S.C. §3500, and the Government's general obligation to produce exculpatory and impeachment material in criminal cases, all of which will be referred to herein as "disclosure material." The Government's disclosure material may include material that (i) affects the privacy, confidentiality and of individuals; (ii) would impede, if prematurely disclosed, the Government's ongoing investigation of uncharged individuals; (iii) would risk prejudicial pretrial publicity if publicly disseminated; and (iv) that is not authorized to be disclosed to the public or disclosed beyond that which is necessary for the defense of this criminal case.

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

2. Disclosure material shall not be disclosed by the defendant or defense counsel, including any successor counsel ("the defense") other than as set forth herein, and shall be used by the

defense solely for purposes of defending this action. The defense shall not post any disclosure material on any Internet site or network site to which persons other than the parties hereto have access, and shall not disclose any disclosure material to the media or any third party except as set forth below.

- 3. Disclosure material may be disclosed by counsel to:
- (a) Personnel for whose conduct counsel is responsible, *i.e.*, personnel employed by or retained by counsel, as needed for purposes of defending this action; and
 - (b) Prospective witnesses for purposes of defending this action.
- 4. The Government may authorize, in writing, disclosure of disclosure material beyond that otherwise permitted by this Order without further Order of this Court.
- 5. This Order does not prevent the disclosure of any disclosure material in any hearing or trial held in this action, or to any judge or magistrate judge, for purposes of this action. However, disclosure material pertinent to any motion before the Court should initially be filed under seal, absent consent of the Government or Order of the Court. All filings should comply with the privacy protection provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 49.1.
- 6. Except for disclosure material that has been made part of the record of this case, the defense shall return to the Government or securely destroy or delete all disclosure material, including the seized ESI disclosure material, within 30 days of the expiration of the period for direct appeal from any verdict in the above-captioned case; the period of direct appeal from any order dismissing any of the charges in the above-captioned case; or the granting of any motion made on behalf of the Government dismissing any charges in the above-captioned case, whichever date is later.

- 7. The defense shall provide a copy of this Order to prospective witnesses and persons retained by counsel to whom the defense has disclosed disclosure material or the Government's ESI production. All such persons shall be subject to the terms of this Order. Defense counsel shall maintain a record of what information has been disclosed to which such persons.
- 8. This Order places no restriction on a defendant's use or disclosure of ESI that originally belonged to the defendant.

Retention of Jurisdiction

9. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO: AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney October 15, 2020 Date: by: Kedar S. Bhatia **Assistant United States Attorney** Date: _____ David Chidekel, Esq. Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa James M. Roth, Esq. Counsel for Ledif Perez-Pez Date: Dawn M. Cardi, Esq. Counsel for Lisandy Joaquin Ortiz Benjamin C. Zeman, Esq. Counsel for Jose Rivera SO ORDERED: Dated: New York, New York August ____, 2020

THE HONORABLE J. PAUL OETKEN

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

Dated: New York, New York August ____, 2020

AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney by: ____ Date: Kedar S. Bhatia Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa Date: _____ James M. Roth, Esq. Counsel for Ledif Perez-Pez Date: Dawn M. Cardi, Esq. Counsel for Lisandy Joaquin Ortiz Date: Benjamin C. Zeman, Esq. Counsel for Jose Rivera SO ORDERED:

THE HONORABLE J. PAUL OETKEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO: AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney by: _____ Date: _____ Kedar S. Bhatia Assistant United States Attorney Date: _____ David Chidekel, Esq. Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa James Roth September 29, 2020 Date: James M. Roth, Esq. Counsel for Ledif Perez-Pez Date: Dawn M. Cardi, Esq. Counsel for Lisandy Joaquin Ortiz Benjamin C. Zeman, Esq. Counsel for Jose Rivera SO ORDERED: Dated: New York, New York August ____, 2020

THE HONORABLE J. PAUL OETKEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:	
AUDREY STRAUSS	
Acting United States Attorney	
by:	Date:
Kedar S. Bhatia	
Assistant United States Attorney	
David Cliff Land	Date:
David Chidekel, Esq. Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa	
Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa	
	and the second second
James M. Roth, Esq.	Date:
Counsel for Ledif Perez-Pez	
(1) Ω	
Man Mondi	Deter
Dawn M. Cardi, Esq.	Date:
Counsel for Lisandy Joaquin Ortiz	
	Date:
Benjamin C. Zeman, Esq. Counsel for Jose Rivera	
Counsel for Jose Rivera	
SO ORDERED:	
Dated: New York, New York	
August <u>90</u> , 2020	
Sept	
	THE HONORABLE J. PAUL OETKEN
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:	
AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney	
by: Kedar S. Bhatia Assistant United States Attorney	Date:
David Chidekel, Esq. Counsel for Marco Segovia-Landa	Date:
James M. Roth, Esq. Counsel for Ledif Perez-Pez	Date:
Dawn M. Cardi, Esq. Counsel for Lisandy Joaquin Ortiz	Date:
Benjamin C. Zeman, Esq. Counsel for Jose Rivera	Date: 8.18.20
SO ORDERED:	
Dated: New York, New York Oct. 16, 2020	J. PAUL OETKEN United States District Judge